			ORDE	R FOR SU	IPPLIES OR SER	VICES				PAGE	OF PAG	ES	
IMPORTANT:	Mark all	packages	and papers with conti	ract and/or or	rder numbers.					1		12	
1. DATE OF ORDER 2. CONTRACT NO. ((f any)) 68HERH19D0033				6, SHIP TO:									
09/24/20	119	68HERF	119D0033			a, NAME	OF CC	ONSIGNEE					
3. ORDER NO.			1.3%		REFERENCE NO.	HQAD							
68HERH19	91.0406		PR	-R2-19-	00427								
5. ISSUING OF HQAD			5300 5500 5000 <b>7</b> 00			b. STREE	Ye	h	D	11:55			
			otection Age	-		11 17 27 25 25 25 25 25		Jefferson Cli nsylvania Ave					
			linton Build					e: 3803R	ilue, N. I				
			venue, N. W.			c. CITY				d, STATE	e. ZIP	CODE	
Mail Coc						Washington				DC	2046		
Washingt		SONOLUIA A									2010		
7. TO: Dic			n			f. SHIP V	IA						
a. NAME OF CO EASTERN			OUP, INC.					8. TY	PE OF ORDER				
b. COMPANY N	AME					a. PL	JRCHA	SE		X b. DELIVERY	,		
c. STREET ADD						REFERE	NCE Y	OUR:					
110 HART	WELL	AVE ST	E 1							Except for billing reverse, this deliver			
						-				subject to instruct			
						Please fu	mich th	se following on the terms		this side only of the			
						Please furnish the following on the terms and conditions specified on both sides of				issued subject to conditions of the			
d. CITY				e. STATE	f. ZIP CODE			the attached sheet, if		contract.			
LEXINGTO:	N			MA	024213134	any, inclu	idina de	elivery as indicated.					
9. ACCOUNTIN		PROPRIATIO	ON DATA			10. REQU	JISITIO	NING OFFICE	,				
See Sche		CATION (C	heck annmoriate hovies	1)		-				12. F.O.B. PO	INT		
11. BUSINESS CLASSIFICATION (Check appropriate box(es))  a. SMALL X b. OTHER THAN SMALL c. DISADVANTAGED d. WC			DMEN-OWNED e. HUBZone				1000 10000 10000						
f. SERVIC	-	4;	g. WOMEN-OWNED SM		CC (MOCD) —								
	AN-OWNE		ELIGIBLE UNDER THE			EDWOSB							
		13. PLA	CE OF		14. GOVERNMENT B/L	NO.		15. DELIVER TO F.O.B.		16. DISCOU	NT TERM	IS	
a. INSPECTION	ì		b. ACCEPTANCE					ON OR BEFORE (Dat	te)				
Destinat		1	Destination										
		=			17. SCHEDULE (S	ee reverse fo	r Rejec	tions)					
						QUANTITY		UNIT				UANTITY	
ITEM NO.	SUPPLIES OR SERVICES					ORDERED	(d)	PRICE (e)	AMO (f	G81036	A	(g)	
(a)	DIING	Number	(b) : 112947395			(c)	(5)	(6)	,	,		(9)	
				er is to	o procure the			į.					
			ERG to perf										
	outlined in the Diamond Alkali Allocation												
			rder also in					j.					
		ved Wo nued .	rk Plan and	Cost Es	timate as a								
	CONCI	nueu .	***										
	18 SHIP	PING POIN	r		19. GROSS SHIPPING	WEIGHT		20. INVOICE NO.				<sup>17(h)</sup>	
	10. 01111	7 1110 7 0111			10.0110000							Cont.	
												pages)	
	21. MAIL INVOICE TO:											4	
	a. NAME	8			No control de Control				\$1,29	4,305.00		4	
SEE BILLING			RTP Fi	nance C	Center								
INSTRUCTIONS	(or P.O. Box) RTP-Finance Center (AA216-					on Agency							
ON REVERSE						-01)			1			17(i) GRAND	
												TOTAL	
	www2.epa.gov/financial/co					ntracts  d.STATE   e.ZIPCODE			\$1,29	4,305.00			
	c, CITY					100000000000000000000000000000000000000		20 V 5 CE 20					
	Di	ırham		$\prec$	)	N	U	27711					
22. UNITED				()/	1			23. NAME (Typed) Patrice L.	Cunning	am			
AMERIC	ABY (Sign	nature)	A	//	11-			TITLE: CONTRACTING					
			1	1	1						OBM 347	.D 20217	
AUTHORIZED F	OR LOCAL F	REPRODUCTI	ON C	1	1					OPTIONAL F		(Rev. 2/2012)	

## ORDER FOR SUPPLIES OR SERVICES SCHEDULE - CONTINUATION

PAGE NO

2

IMPORTANT: Mark all packages and papers with contract and/or order numbers.

DATE OF ORDER CONTRACT NO.

09/24/2019 68HERH19D0033

ORDER NO. 68HERH19F0406

ITEM NO.	SUPPLIES/SERVICES	QUANTITY		UNIT	AMOUNT	QUANTITY
(a)	(b)	ORDERED (c)	(d)	PRICE (e)	(f)	ACCEPTED (g)
(a)	reference.	(0)	(5)	(5)		
	TOCOR: Alice Yeh Max Expire Date: 10/30/2020					
	Admin Office:					1
	HQAD		1			
	US Environmental Protection Agency					
	William Jefferson Clinton Building					
	1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, N. W.					
	Mail Code: 3803R					
	Washington DC 20460					
	Accounting Info:					
	19-TR2B-02D-000EC7-2505-C010-1902HE1114-001					
	BFY: 19 Fund: TR2B Budget Org: 02D Program					
	(PRC): 000EC7 Budget (BOC): 2505 Job #:					1
	0296AN02 Cost: C010 DCN - Line ID:					
	1902HE1114-001					
	Period of Performance: 09/24/2019 to					
	10/30/2020					
0001	Order Funding				1,294,305.00	
	Incrementally Funded Amount: \$500,000.00				6.0 49	
	The obligated amount of award: \$500,000.00.					
	The total for this award is shown in box					
	17(i).					
						J
		1				
						[
AUTHORIZED	TOTAL CARRIED FORWARD TO 1ST PAGE (ITEM 17(H))	>			\$1,294,305.00	VAL FORM 348

Contract \_\_\_\_\_

## TITLE: Diamond Alkali-Lower Passaic River Allocation 6/27/19

ABSTRACT: This task order will provide neutral, unbiased support for development of an allocation for potentially responsible parties (PRPs) at the Diamond Alkali Superfund Site in New Jersey. This allocation will form the basis for final negotiations between the EPA Region 2 and PRPs. This Task Order is funded by EPA Region 2 Superfund program. This Task Order continues the allocation work started under Contract EP-W-14-020, Task Order 96 (referred to below as the previous contract).

#### I. BACKGROUND

The Diamond Alkali Site has a long history, involving over 100 potentially responsible parties (PRPs). Since the late 1800s, the Lower Passaic River has been a highly industrialized waterway, receiving direct and indirect discharges from numerous industrial facilities. Data collected in the Lower Passaic River, and EPA's analyses, show that contaminated sediment in the lower 8.3 miles are a major source of contamination to the rest of the river and Newark Bay and pose an unacceptable risk to human health and the environment due to the presence of a variety of contaminants that stay in the environment for a long time and can build up in fish and shellfish. These contaminants include dioxins and furans, PCBs, mercury, DDT and its primary breakdown products, copper, dieldrin, PAHs, and lead.

On March 4, 2016, EPA issued a record of decision selecting the remedy for the lower 8.3 miles of the Lower Passaic River, which is Operable Unit 2 (OU2) of the Site. Currently, the remedial design (RD) for OU2 is being performed by Occidental Chemical Corporation (OCC) pursuant to an administrative order on consent. The cost of the remedial action (RA), estimated for purposes of remedy selection, is \$1.38 billion.

Region 2 has issued a notice of potential liability to approximately 100 parties, informing them of their responsibility for the RD/RA for OU2. The Region has offered a first round of cash-out settlements to a group of approximately 20 PRPs. The Region also expects to separately address the liability of municipalities and public entities. The Region requires the services of a third-party allocator to assign a share of responsibility to the 83 PRPs that are not in the first round of cash-out settlements and that are not municipalities or public entities. Some of the PRPs have multiple facilities in the allocation, so that the total number of facilities to be assigned a share of responsibility will be 97. The Region expects to use the results of the allocation to: 1) enter into settlement agreements with a small group of the PRPs with the largest shares of responsibility to perform the remedial action; and 2) enter into another round of cash-out settlements with the other PRPs with smaller shares of responsibility.

Region 2 understands that a PRP group (the Cooperating Parties Group, or CPG) has made at least two previous attempts to develop a mutually acceptable allocation of liability to promote

settlement with EPA for costs and work at the site, however both efforts fell short of agreement. Region 2 believes that because of these previous efforts, additional information available from responses to CERCLA 104(e) letters, information available from previous litigation at the state level and numerous conversations with PRPs over the years, the allocation project will be able to build upon existing information and contacts. Some augmentation of background, data and allocation factors may need to occur to develop what is hoped to be an acceptable settlement proposal and structure. In 2016 the Department of Justice retained a mediator, David Batson of Techlaw to assist in settlement analysis. Those discussions and analyses have resulted in this effort.

This work needs to start in August 2019 because of milestones anticipated in site cleanup and is expected to last up to 13 months.

#### II. SCOPE OF WORK - TASKS

#### A. Preliminary Work

- The contractor shall select a team of dispute resolution professionals and support staff in consultation with the Project Officer (PO) and Task Order Contracting Officer Representative (TOCOR). The lead service provider shall have the following qualifications:
  - Detailed knowledge of a range of Superfund allocation of liability processes.
  - Multiple instances and experience constructing allocation plans consistent with EPA settlement guidelines and legal requirements.
  - · Access to staff resources for database design, entry, analysis and manipulation.
  - Understanding of PRP legal and technical issues and concerns in designing allocation processes.
- The contractor shall submit a work plan in accordance with the requirements of this contract. The workplan shall include:
  - Procedures for substitution of labor categories in the event of temporary or permanent personnel changes.
  - Outline quality assurance/quality control procedures for deliverables.
  - Information on Conflict of Interest checks for the proposed service provider.
- The contractor shall be responsible for oversight of deliverables on this Task Order and shall be responsible for transmission of reports and invoices as required by the contract.

#### B. Allocation Design and Production

#### Performance Requirement:

The purpose of this project is to provide neutral, unbiased allocation development and negotiation support to establish a reasonable basis for EPA settlement offers to Diamond Alkali OU2 PRPs by developing and implementing an allocation process for the parties that are not in the first round of cash-out settlements and that are not municipalities or public entities. Major deliverables include (1) a searchable database containing documents and data used for the allocation and (2) a written allocation analysis report (i.e., allocation report). Authority to initiate work for each subtask described below will be provided via email by the TOCOR as a need to conduct subtasks is identified.

During the performance of the work described in the subtasks, Region 2 may share information with the contractor that is pre-decisional and deliberative, or is considered to be attorney work product in preparation of potential litigation. Such work is privileged to the United States and EPA under the Freedom of Information Act. While the contractor will conduct the allocation process in a manner transparent to the public to the extent possible, the contractor must consult with EPA before releasing information to the public to ensure confidential information is protected.

The contractor will perform the following negotiation support subtasks:

- 1) <u>Initial review of information provided by EPA:</u> EPA will provide the contractor with the information, materials and reports generated under the previous contract pertaining to the allocation. The contractor will review this information.
- 2) PRP Outreach: The contractor will conduct outreach to OU2 PRPs participating in the allocation to provide them with the opportunity to comment on and correct the draft data reports produced under the previous contract and to provide input on the drafting of the allocation recommendation report.
  - a) The PRP outreach performed by the contractor will be include (1) ensuring that each PRP's data or information used in the allocation is correctly input into the database, (2) soliciting PRP positions on the drafting of the allocation recommendation report, and (3) communicating how their input was or was not taken into consideration in developing the allocation recommendation report.
  - b) The contractor will complete a report regarding outreach efforts conducted with the OU2 PRPs, including a list of participants in outreach efforts, description of topics discussed and summary of issues or concerns raised.
- 3) Searchable database: The contractor will finish developing the searchable database started under the previous contract. The searchable database should contain and organize all of the information and data used in the allocation.

- a) Under the previous contract, the database was designed and initially populated with information received from EPA, including PRP disclosure statements and nexus documents from third party litigation totaling approximately 130,000 pages.
- b) Under the previous contract, the database was also populated with up to 376,000 pages of documents received from PRPs deemed relevant to the allocation by the previous contractor and any other information used in the allocation.
- c) The database will be designed in such a way as to allow access and use by EPA and DOJ staff for their settlement purposes.
- d) Completion of database: The contractor will complete the database and provide the completed database to EPA when the final allocation recommendation report, described in paragraph 5, is complete.

#### 4) Final Facility Data Reports

- a) Draft Facility Data Reports: After reviewing and analyzing relevant information in the database (described in paragraph 3 above) on each PRP facility, the previous contractor developed individual data reports for each facility. The data reports provided the information that will be used to conduct the allocation.
- b) PRP Review of Draft Data Reports: After completing the draft data reports, the previous contractor sent the draft reports to the PRPs participating in the allocation for their review and comment on the accuracy of the information. Note: EPA did not have an opportunity to review the draft data reports.
- c) Final Facility Data Reports: The contractor will solicit comments from the PRPs participating in the allocation on the accuracy of the information in the draft data reports. The contractor will finalize the data reports based on the comments received.

#### 5) Allocation and Report

- a) Allocation: The contractor will ensure that each PRP's data or information used in the allocation is correctly input into the database and solicit from the PRPs participating in the allocation positions on the drafting of the allocation recommendation report (as described in paragraph 2). The contractor will perform the allocation.
- b) Draft allocation recommendation report: The contractor will provide a draft allocation recommendation report for review and comment by the PRPs participating in the allocation. Additional time may be necessary for PRPs to meet with the allocator to discuss their positions and concerns. EPA will not have an opportunity to review the draft allocation recommendation report.

- c) Final allocation recommendation report: After considering the PRPs' input, the contractor will provide a final allocation recommendation report to EPA and the PRPs in the allocation.
- 6) Meetings or Conference Calls: The contractor shall attend and participate in the following meetings either in-person or by telephone or video conference at EPA's discretion.
  - a) Progress meetings or conference calls: Contractor shall meet monthly with EPA, and others as directed or determined by EPA, to discuss progress.
  - b) Kickoff meeting or conference call with EPA: Contractor shall meet with EPA to discuss tasks described in this task order and EPA's expectations.
  - c) Draft allocation recommendation report meeting or conference call with PRPs: Contractor shall meet with the PRPs participating in the allocation to discuss their comments on the draft allocation recommendation report.
  - d) The contractor shall provide meeting facilities, equipment, supplies and support for meetings in cases where EPA or other participant facilities are unavailable or inappropriate.
- 7) The contractor shall furnish a final allocation recommendation report as described above and a short (2 page) Task Order Closeout Report. The Task Order Close Out Report shall not contain any confidential or sensitive information. The contents shall include:
  - a) A half page description of the case that describes the nature of the case, the parties, the process and the outcomes.
  - b) If appropriate, a short section reflecting on the process and procedural lessons learned and recommendation for improvements. The contractor shall identify those activities conducted that contributed to the success of the process.
  - Brief summary of the final costs of the project broken out by labor hours and other direct costs.

The PO and TOCOR will review the draft Task Order Closeout Report and provide comments and revisions as necessary. The contractor will prepare the final report incorporating their comments and revisions. The contractor shall provide one copy of the final report to the PO and one copy to the TOCOR. The final report may be transmitted in electronic form (Word format), rather than in hard copy if the TOCOR agrees

8) As directed by the TOCOR, the contractor shall participate in a post-process debriefing with EPA officials, including the PO, TOCOR and Technical Directive and relevant EPA management, to discuss lessons learned and next steps.

#### C. Reports and Deliverables

All deliverables and tasks required under this task order must be submitted or completed within the time durations listed in the schedule set forth below. The contractor may submit a revised proposed schedule for EPA approval. Upon EPA's approval, the revised schedule will supersede the schedule set forth below.

	Description of Deliverable, Transmittal or Activity	¶ Ref.	Type	Deadline
0	Work plan	II.B	Deliverable	10 business days of issuance
1	Kickoff meeting or conference call with EPA	II.B.6.b.	Activity	Within 20 business days of approval of the work plan
2	Completion of searchable database	II.B.3.c. & II.B.3.d.	Deliverable	Upon submission of the final allocation recommendation report (5.c.)
3	Completion of final facility data reports.	II.B.4.c	Transmittal	Within 40 business days of approval of the work plan
4	Perform allocation	II.B.5.a.	Activity	140 business days after completion of final facility data reports (4.c.)
5	Draft allocation recommendation report	II.B.5.b.	Transmittal	140 business days after completion of final facility data reports (4.c.)
6	Draft allocation recommendation report meeting	II.B.6.c.	Transmittal	Within 20 business days of submittal of draft allocation recommendation report (5.b.)
7	Final allocation recommendation report	II.B.5.c.	Deliverable	65 business days after completion of draft allocation recommendation report (5.b)

8	Final PRP outreach report	II.B.2.b.	Transmittal	10 days after completion of final allocation recommendation report (5.c.)	
9	Draft case closure report	II.B.7	Transmittal	10 business days after acceptance of final allocation recommendation report	
10	Final case closure report	II.B.7	Deliverable	10 business days after receipt of EPA comments.	

#### Performance Standards:

The contractor shall provide negotiations support using the guidance listed in Attachment B, other applicable guidance, and/or direction provided in an individual task order.

The contractor shall send Region 2 TOCOR all reports in accordance with the contract.

The contractor shall provide a task order proposal plan within the schedule provided in the contract.

Deliverables shall be submitted to EPA by (check all that apply):

- [X] Written paper text to Task level COR, when requested
- [X] Via e-mail to Task level COR
- [X] On electronic media (specify disk, CD, etc. Task level COR (only when requested by TDD)
- [X] Posted on a SharePoint server for access by Contract Level COR

#### III. WORK APPROACH

#### A. Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) Best Practices:

The Contractor shall approach this task in accordance with terms of the basic contract and according to the established norms and ethical standards of ADR professionals.

Based on EPA's evaluation of a large number of ADR cases, the Agency has determined that the following practices are significantly related to positive substantive, relational, and procedural outcomes from ADR cases. The contractor shall ensure that this direction is provided to ADR professionals providing services under this task order:

 Prior to the mediation or facilitation and throughout the process, the ADR professional shall inquire about whether individual participants have the time, financial, and logistical resources necessary to participate effectively in the process and -- where resources are inadequate -- assist them in identifying appropriate resources or in making necessary adjustments to the process to accommodate resource constraints.

- The ADR professional shall assist the participants in identifying the issues that are important to resolving any controversy and solutions that will address the needs shared by the participants.
- The ADR professional shall conduct the process to promote active engagement from all participants.
- The ADR professional shall explore with the participants appropriate ways to incorporate high quality and relevant information resources necessary to resolve the issues.
- To support productive dialogue and effective implementation of any agreements reached by the participants, the ADR professional shall ensure that participants have appropriate authority to make commitments on behalf of their organizations.

#### B. Ethical Codes of Conduct:

The Contractor shall ensure that ADR professionals serving as neutral third parties under this contract receive information about and perform in accordance with ethical codes applicable to the practice of dispute resolution professionals. Relevant examples of ethical codes include those adopted by the American Arbitration Association, American Bar Association, Association for Conflict Resolution:

 $\frac{(\text{http://www.acrnet.org/uploadedFiles/Practitioner/ModelStandardsofConductforMediatorsfinal0}{5(1)(1).pdf)}$ 

#### C. Confidentiality

All parties to this task order acknowledge that the confidentiality provisions of the Administrative Dispute Resolution Act, 5 U.S.C. Section 574 (ADR Act) shall govern the contractor's alternative dispute resolution activities (if any) under this task order, when activities pursuant to the task order fall within the jurisdiction of the ADR Act.

#### D. Contractor Representation

In gathering information or performing tasks with parties outside the EPA, the contractor will identify him/herself as a contractor to EPA, not an EPA employee.

The Contractor shall provide input or make recommendations based on the information gathered, however, decisions on all substantive issues will be made by EPA. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL NOT INTERPRET EPA POLICY ON BEHALF OF EPA NOR MAKE DECISIONS ON ITEMS OF POLICY, REGULATION OR STATUTE. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL NOT TAKE A STAND ON THE MERITS OF SUBSTANTIVE ITEMS UNDER DISCUSSION.

#### E. Status Notifications:

# THE CONTRACTOR SHALL NOTIFY THE EPA PO AND TOCOR WHEN 75% OF THE FUNDS PROVIDED HAVE BEEN EXPENDED OR WHEN FUNDING FOR LESS THAN 6 WEEKS WORK REMAINS. Notifications shall be in writing and cc to the PO.

#### F. Task Order Procedures, Constraints and Disclaimers

If out of town travel is required to accomplish the tasks under this task order, the contractor shall obtain advance approval for that trip and its costs in writing from the TOCOR and/or the PO. To the extent possible, the contractor's per diem costs shall be within allowable limits set by GSA.

This task order is not funded by multiple appropriations. This task order does not provide for training of contractor personnel, provision of Government Furnished Property or Accountable Personal Property, leased items or property or IT products or services. The SOW does not include any tasks that are inherently governmental in nature or provide personal services. The SOW does not anticipate transferring or developing Confidential Business Information or Personally Identifiable Information to the contractor. This project will not involve collection of environmental data and so is not subject to needing an EPA Quality Assurance Project Plan. Printing shall be in accordance with limitations of the contract. This project does not involve the service provider conducting surveys, data collection or questionnaires. Development of communications products as a result of activities on this task order will be in compliance with EPA's Policy and Implementation Guide for Communications Product Development and Approval found at <a href="https://www.epa.gov/productreview/guide/index.html">https://www.epa.gov/productreview/guide/index.html</a>.

The Contractor is directed to conduct Conflict of Interest checks and provide this information as a part of the Work Plan for TOCOR review and CO approval.

#### IV. EPA CONTACTS

EPA Task Order Contracting Officer Representative (TOCOR):

Name: Alice Yeh

Mailing Address: 290 Broadway, New York, NY 10007

Phone: 212-637-4427 Email: yeh.alice@epa.gov

Delivery: (if different from mailing)

Supervisor of TOCOR: Name: Michael Sivak

Mailing Address: 290 Broadway, New York, NY 10007

Phone: 212-637-4310

Email: sivak.michael@epa.gov Delivery: (if different from mailing)

EPA Project Officer:

Terry Fenton

Conflict Prevention and Resolution Center (MC-2388A) Environmental Protection Agency 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20460 Phone: 202-564-2090 Fax: (202) 501-1715 fenton.terry@epa.gov

CPRC contact for this task order
Name: David Moora
Conflict Prevention and Resolution Center (MC-2388A)
Environmental Protection Agency
1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, DC 20460
Phone: (202) 564-8904 Fax: (202) 501-1715
Email: moora.david@epa.gov

### V. PERIOD OF PERFORMANCE

The period of performance of this task order shall be until xxxxxxx